

October 5, 2012

PRESIDENT

Doug Gansler

Maryland Attorney General

The Honorable John Boehner House Majority Leader The Honorable Nancy Pelosi House Minority Leader

PRESIDENT-ELECT
J.B. Van Hollen
Wisconsin Attorney General

The Honorable Harry Reid Senate Majority Leader The Honorable Mitch McConnell Senate Minority Leader

Via fax

VICE PRESIDENT Jim Hood Mississippi Attorney General

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Rob McKenna

Washington Attorney General

We the undersigned state Attorneys General write to urge you to oppose H.R. 6139, a bill known as the Consumer Credit Access, Innovation, and Modernization Act. This proposal would preempt state laws governing consumer lending and undermine longstanding states' rights in the area of consumer protection.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR James McPherson

Most states have enacted laws and rules to regulate short term lending, including payday loans. Many of these states have chosen to strike a regulatory balance that preserves access to alternative forms of credit while protecting consumers from repeated debt cycles and other pitfalls associated with such products. H.R. 6139 would turn back existing consumer protections and curtail all future efforts by the states to enhance their consumer safeguards.

H.R. 6139 would give nonbank financial services providers – including payday lenders, installment lenders, car-title lenders, prepaid-card issuers, check cashers, and others – access to a federal charter issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. The bill would totally preempt state licensing laws for nonbank financial services providers, and require state consumer protection laws to be evaluated under the preemption standard set forth by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Barnett v. Nelson*.

In place of state safeguards, the bill would establish only minimal consumer protections. Although the bill would prohibit lenders from extending credit to consumers unless there is a reasonable basis for believing the consumer can repay the loan, the bill establishes no standards for determining a consumer's ability to repay. Moreover, the bill would exempt loans with terms of one year or less from the disclosure requirements of the Truth in Lending Act – the universal standard for measuring the true cost of credit – and substitute a cost metric that is confusing and misleading.

2030 M Street, NW Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 326-6000 http://www.naag.org

By preempting state laws, the proposed legislation would impede state efforts to protect consumers from harm and respond quickly to emergent problems in the marketplace. State attorneys general have a long history of acting both

¹ Barnett Bank of Marion County, N.A. v. Nelson, Florida Insurance Commissioner, et al., 517 U.S. 25 (1996). Specifically, the bill would preempt state consumer laws that "significantly interfere with the exercise by a Credit Corporation of its powers. . . ."

independently and, when appropriate, cooperatively to protect consumers in our states against deceptive, abusive, or predatory lending practices. The recent settlement agreement signed by 49 state Attorneys General and the five largest mortgage loan servicers exemplifies the importance of our engagement in matters affecting the consumers we serve. Although H.R. 6139 does allow our offices to engage in enforcement actions should we find violations of federal law, the bill prohibits us from enforcing state laws that were carefully designed to address problems in the local marketplace and significantly impairs our ability to respond in a targeted fashion to new abuses as they emerge.

Even the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) has expressed concerns about serving as the chartering authority for providers of short term loans and other high cost financial products. In a July 24 hearing before the House Subcommittee on Financial Institutions and Consumer Credit, Deputy Comptroller Grovetta Gardineer emphasized the OCC's concern that "H.R. 6139 would provide special status and federal benefits to companies and third-party vendors that would primarily engage in offering credit products and services that the OCC has previously found to be unsafe and unsound and unfair to consumers." In support of preserving states' ability to regulate potentially harmful consumer financial products, Deputy Comptroller Gardineer further stated that "where these services are offered, state officials . . . have adequate authority to regulate these products and services and the companies that provide them."

H.R. 6139 would supplant state laws without sufficiently providing tangible benefits to the consumers of our respective states. In our view, the bill would eliminate crucial consumer protections in many states and curtail our authority to enforce state laws governing the conduct of financial services companies operating within our borders.

We continue to urge you to resist federal preemption of state laws, particularly in the area of consumer financial protection.

Sincerely,

Lisa Madigan

Illinois Attorney General

Michael Geraghty

Alaska Attorney General

Dustin McDaniel

Arkansas Attorney General

Greg Zoeller

Indiana Attorney General

Tom Horne

Arizona Attorney General

Kamala Harris

California Attorney General

ohn W. Suthers John W. Suthers George Jepsen Colorado Attorney General Connecticut Attorney General Tim Caller Joseph R. "Beau" Biden III Irvin Nathan Delaware Attorney General Washington DC Attorney General S. Olans Sam Olens Lenny Rapadas Georgia Attorney General Guam Attorney General David Louie Lawrence Wasden Hawaii Attorney General Idaho Attorney General Tom Miller James "Buddy" Caldwell Louisiana Attorney General Iowa Attorney General William J. Schneider Douglas F. Gansler Maine Attorney General Maryland Attorney General Martha Coakley Bill Schuette Michigan Attorney General Massachusetts Attorney General m that Jim Hood Mississippi Attorney General Lori Swanson Minnesota Attorney General Michael Delaney New Hampshire Attorney General Steve Bullock Montana Attorney General

Gary King

New Mexico Attorney General

Jeffrey Chiesa

New Jersey Attorney General

Eric Schneiderman New York Attorney General Wayne Stenehjem North Dakota Attorney General
- Ester 7. Counter
Ellen Rosenblum
Oregon Attorney General
RUL
Guillermo Somoza-Colombani
Puerto Rico Attorney General
Marty of Jackley
Marty J. Jackley
South Dakota Attorney General
17. 7/
William Horself
William H. Sorrell
Vermont Attorney General
Ill. of not
Darrell V. McGraw, JR.
West Virginia Attorney General
Jangung a Parleips
Greg Phillips
Wyoming Attorney General

Roy Cooper North Carolina Attorney General Mike Dewine Ohio Attorney General Linda L. Kelly Pennsylvania Attorney General Peter F. Kilmartin Rhode Island Attorney General Robert E. Cooper, JR. Tennessee Attorney General Rob McKenna Washington Attorney General

J.B. Van Hollen Wisconsin Attorney General

Signature Unavailable
Bruce B. Kimⁱ
Executive Director
Hawaii Office of Consumer Protection

ⁱ The Office of Consumer Protection is an agency which is not part of the State of Hawaii's Attorney General's Office, but which is statutorily authorized to undertake consumer protection functions, including legal representation of the State of Hawaii.